Dr. Sorin PARASTIE

Tutor: Dr. Ariane DE AGOSTINI



- Estimation of man's fertility potential.
- Semen analysis a keystone in clinical workup.
- The importance of sperm morphology.
- The standardization of normal spermatozoon.

The WHO criteria (1987, 1992).

The strict criteria.

Computer-assisted methods.



The WHO criteria (1987):

- Normal frequency: 50 %.
- Head shape: regular oval shaped.
- Head size: 3-5 um long , 2-3 um wide.
- Lenght/width ratio: 1,5-2,0.
- Acrosome: > 1/3.
- Vacuoles: no details.
- Cytoplasmic droplets: no details.
- Midpiece: 7-8 um, <1/3 width of head, slender, straight and regular, aligned with longitudinal axis of head.
- Tail: at least 45 um, slender, uncoiled and regular.

The WHO criteria (1992):

- Normal frequency: 30 %.
- Head shape: oval; borderline forms abnormal.
- Head size: 4,0-5,5 um long and 2,5-3,5 um wide.
- Lenght/width ratio: 1,5-1,75.
- Acrosome: 40-70 % of head area, well defined.
- Vacuoles: <20 % of head area.
- Cytoplasmic droplets: < 1/3 normal head.
- Midpiece: no dimensions, no description of normal (defects only given).
- Tail: no dimensions, no description of normal (def. only).



The strict criteria:

- Normal frequency: 14%.
- Head shape: oval configuration with a smooth contour, borderlines forms abnormal.
- Head size: 5-6 um long and 2,5-3,5 um wide.
- Width/ lenght ratio: 1/2-3/5.
- Acrosome: 40-70 % of the distal part of the head, well defined.
- Cytoplasmic droplets: < 1/2 normal head.
- Midpiece: 1,5 of the head lenght, <1 um wide, slender and axially attached.
- Tail: 45 um long, uniform, uncoiled, slightly thinner than the midpiece.



Computer assisted methods:

- The method of Moruzzi (1988).
 - sperm image optically sectioned and measured by computer (semi-automated).
 - 95 % accuracy and 86 % correct assignment to one of 10 classes.
- The Perez- Sanchez system (1994).
 - head image processed automatically; midpiece and tail excepted.
 - characterization of the majority of morphological types.
 - more standardization needed (technical problems).



CONCLUSIONS:

- The strict sperm morphology clinical relevance, in vivo and in vitro, independent of other parameters.
- The definition of morphological "normality" is still a matter of debate.
- The advantage of strict criteria.
- The improvement of existing classification, in order to eliminate subjectivity.



CONCLUSIONS:

- Basic semen assessment training courses for a high standard achievement.
- Research projects:from objective base observations to scientific bases in evaluation of semen fertility (sperm head morphology).
- Infertility patients must be evaluated as a couple.