



# Treatment of hypertension in pregnancy

Dr Lale Say

Postgraduate Training Course in Reproductive  
Health  
Geneva 2004



# Objective

- To provide an update on the magnitude and consequences of hypertensive conditions in pregnancy
- To discuss treatment strategies for hypertension in pregnancy



# Hypertension during pregnancy

| DEVELOPING COUNTRIES     |         |                                   | Rates (%) (95% CI)      |
|--------------------------|---------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| <b>ALL</b>               |         |                                   |                         |
| Pooled estimate**        | 36 371  | Population-based                  | <b>5.8 (4.9-6.8)</b>    |
| <b>NULLIPAROUS</b>       |         |                                   |                         |
| Jamaica Aspirin Study    | 3026    | Community-based antenatal clinics | <b>11.0 (9.9-12.1)</b>  |
| INDUSTRIALISED COUNTRIES |         |                                   |                         |
| <b>ALL</b>               |         |                                   |                         |
| North Carolina           | 289 125 | Birth Certificate Data            | <b>3.7 (3.6-3.7)</b>    |
| <b>NULLIPAROUS</b>       |         |                                   |                         |
| NIH Calcium Trial        | 2294    | 5 centres in the US               | <b>17.3 (15.8-18.9)</b> |
| North Carolina           | 107 555 | Birth Certificate Data            | <b>4.7 (4.6-4.8)</b>    |

\*data from recently conducted large studies

\*\*calculated using logistic regression with adjustment for over-dispersion to account for the between-study variation



# Pre-eclampsia\*

| DEVELOPING COUNTRIES      |           |                                   | Rates(%)(%95CI)      |
|---------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|
| <b>ALL</b>                |           |                                   |                      |
| Trials /Pooled estimate** | 71 054    | Population or hospital-based      | <b>3.4 (2.0-5.6)</b> |
| Latin American dataset    | 878 680   | Hospital database                 | <b>4.8 (4.8-4.9)</b> |
| <b>NULLIPAROUS</b>        |           |                                   |                      |
| Jamaica Aspirin Study     | 3026      | Community-based antenatal clinics | <b>4.6 (3.8-5.4)</b> |
| INDUSTRIALISED COUNTRIES  |           |                                   |                      |
| <b>ALL</b>                |           |                                   |                      |
| Norway                    | 1 869 388 | Norwegian Birth Registry          | <b>2.8 (2.7-2.8)</b> |
| South East Thames         | 48 865    | All maternity units in the area   | <b>0.4 (0.3-0.4)</b> |
| <b>NULLIPAROUS</b>        |           |                                   |                      |
| NIH Calcium Trial         | 2294      | 5 centres                         | <b>7.3 (6.3-8.5)</b> |

\* data from recently conducted large studies

\*\* calculated using logistic regression with adjustment for over-dispersion to account for the between-study variation



# Eclampsia\*

| DEVELOPING COUNTRIES     |         |                                   | Rates (%) (95%CI)        |
|--------------------------|---------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| <b>ALL</b>               |         |                                   |                          |
| Trials/Pooled estimate   | 67 260  | Population or hospital-based      | <b>0.33 (0.16– 0.69)</b> |
| Latin American dataset   | 878 680 | Hospital database                 | <b>0.21 (0.20-0.22)</b>  |
| <b>NULLIPAROUS</b>       |         |                                   |                          |
| Jamaica Aspirin Study    | 3026    | Community-based antenatal clinics | <b>0.7 (0.5-1.1)</b>     |
| INDUSTRIALISED COUNTRIES |         |                                   |                          |
| <b>ALL</b>               |         |                                   |                          |
| North Carolina           | 289 125 | Birth Certificate Data            | <b>0.61 (0.58-0.64)</b>  |
| South East Thames        | 48 865  | All maternity units in the area   | <b>0.02 (0.01-0.04)</b>  |
| <b>NULLIPAROUS</b>       |         |                                   |                          |
| North Carolina           | 107 555 | Birth Certificate Data            | <b>1.0 (0.9-1.1)</b>     |

\* data from recently conducted large studies

\*\* calculated using logistic regression with adjustment for over-dispersion to account for the between-study variation



# Variations in the incidence

|   |                        | Pre-eclampsia (%) | Eclampsia (%) |
|---|------------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| WHO Antenatal Care Trial (2001) (control group) | Argentina ( n= 3594)   | 1.81              | 0.11          |
|   | Cuba (n= 2721)         | 1.62              | 0.00          |
|   | Saudi Arabia (n= 1732) | 1.15              | 0.06          |
|   | Thailand (n= 3074)     | 0.49              | 0.13          |
| WHO RHL Impact trial (2003) (baseline data)     | Mexico (n= 18 288)     | 6.7               | 0.70          |
|   | Thailand (n= 17 525)   | 2.0               | 0.30          |



# Estimated numbers of pre-eclampsia and eclampsia cases per year\*

|   | Developing countries<br>(n=179) | Industrialised countries<br>(n=44) |
|---|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Births/year*  | 118 766 000                     | 13 227 000                         |
| Incidence of pre-eclampsia (range % )                       | 1.3 – 6.7                       | 0.4 – 2.8                          |
| Estimated N of pre-eclampsia /year                          | <b>1 543 958 – 7 957 322</b>    | <b>52 908 – 370 356</b>            |
| Incidence of eclampsia among women with pre-eclampsia** (%) | 2.3                             | 0.8                                |
| Estimated N of eclampsia /year                              | <b>35 511 – 183 018</b>         | <b>423 – 2963</b>                  |

\* Source: World Population Prospects: The 2000 Revision, vol I: Comprehensive Tables. United Nations. New York, 2001

\*\* Magpie Trial, 2002



# Estimated number of maternal deaths due to pre-eclampsia/eclampsia per year

|   | Developing countries | Industrialised countries |
|---|----------------------|--------------------------|
| Case-fatality rate of pre-eclampsia                             | 0.4*                 | 0.034**                  |
| Estimated number of deaths due to pre-eclampsia*** (range)/year | 6176 – 31 829        | 18 - 126                 |
| Case-fatality rate of eclampsia                                 | 5.2****              | 0.72**                   |
| Estimated number of deaths due to eclampsia*** (range)/year     | (1846 – 7862)        | (3 – 22)                 |
| Maternal deaths due to pre-eclampsia/ eclampsia*** (range)/year | <b>8022 – 39 691</b> | <b>21 - 148</b>          |

\* Magpie Trial, 2002

\*\* MacKay, 2001

\*\*\* Calculated with corresponding case-fatality rates

\*\*\*\* Eclampsia Trial, 1995





worldwide each year;

- between 1 500 000 and 8 000 000 women will develop pre-eclampsia
- up to 150 000 women will have eclamptic convulsions
- over 90 % in developing countries



## Complications of pre-eclampsia (Magpie trial, 2002 – placebo group)

| <b>Complication</b>                  | <b>%</b>    |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|
| <b>Perinatal mortality</b>           | <b>11.5</b> |
| <b>Stillbirth</b>                    | <b>8.6</b>  |
| <b>Macerated stillbirth</b>          | <b>3.7</b>  |
| <b>Low birth weight (&lt;2500 g)</b> | <b>48.0</b> |
| <b>Induced labour</b>                | <b>43.0</b> |
| <b>Caesarean section</b>             | <b>48.0</b> |
| <b>Maternal mortality</b>            | <b>0.4</b>  |



## Complications of pre-eclampsia (Magpie trial, 2002 – placebo group)

|   | Women with<br>severe pre-<br>eclampsia at<br>enrollment (%) | Eclampsia<br>(%) | Baby death<br>(%) |
|---|---|------------------|-------------------|
| <b>High risk<br/>countries</b><br>(n= 2812)   | <b>28</b>   | <b>2.3</b>       | <b>16.6</b>       |
| <b>Middle risk<br/>countries</b><br>(n= 1461) | <b>24</b>   | <b>1.8</b>       | <b>8.2</b>        |
| <b>Low risk<br/>countries</b><br>(n= 782)     | <b>28</b>   | <b>0.8</b>       | <b>4.3</b>        |

Lancet 2002



# Complications of pre-eclampsia (Magpie trial, 2002 – placebo group)

| At trial entry                                 | Eclampsia (%) | Neonatal death (%) |
|--|---------------|--------------------|
| <b>Severe pre-eclampsia</b><br>(n=1345)        | <b>2.7</b>    | <b>19.7</b>        |
| <b>Mild-moderate pre-eclampsia</b><br>(n=3710) | <b>1.6</b>    | <b>9.7</b>         |

Lancet , 2002



## Limitations: definitions

- variety of definitions
- some of them impractical: need for a second BP measurement
- relationships between different components of definitions and the adverse outcomes



## Limitations: diagnosis / BP measurement

- poor agreement between observers, terminal digit bias, expected normal value bias
- equipment



## Limitations: diagnosis / proteinuria

- gold standard - 24-hr collection - impractical
- dipstick - affected by the tonicity
- protein/creatinine ratio - best option but still may not be practical and valid due to hour-to-hour variety of protein excretion



# Treatment

## Effective medical actions

- antihypertensive drugs
- magnesium sulphate
- early delivery





# Antihypertensive drugs

- Mild to moderate hypertension

Unclear whether antihypertensive drug therapy for mild-moderate hypertension during pregnancy is worthwhile

(Cochrane review: Abalos et al, 2002)



# Antihypertensive drugs

- Very high blood pressure
- recommended
- which antihypertensive ?
- Little evidence on the choice of the antihypertensive; better to avoid diazoxide and ketanserin

(Cochrane review, Duley and Henderson-Smart, 2002)



# Anticonvulsants

- Magnesium sulphate vs placebo

Mg SO<sub>4</sub> more than halves the risk of eclampsia and probably reduces the risk of maternal death. It does not improve outcome for the baby, in the short term.

(Cochrane review, Duley et al, 2003)



# Anticonvulsants for pre-eclampsia

- Magnesium sulphate vs other anticonvulsants
- better than phenytoin for reducing the risk of eclampsia, but with an increased risk of c/s
- better than nimodipine
- comparison with diazepam: insufficient evidence to conclude

(Cochrane review, Duley et al, 2003)



# Anticonvulsants for eclampsia

- Magnesium sulphate vs other anticonvulsants
- $Mg SO_4$  is substantially more effective than phenytoin and diazepam for treatment of eclampsia

(Cochrane reviews, Duley and Henderson-Smart, 2003)



# Treatment strategies for pre-eclampsia/eclampsia

|  | <b>FIGO Survey<sup>*</sup></b><br><b>(percentage of countries where treatment is commonly used)</b> | <b>Obstetricians' survey<sup>**</sup></b><br><b>(percentage of obstetricians using the treatment in the UK and Ireland)</b> |
|--|---|---|
| <b>MgSO<sub>4</sub> only</b>                   | <b>40</b>   | <b>21</b>   |
| <b>MgSO<sub>4</sub> with antihypertensives</b> | <b>24</b>   | <b>—</b>  |

\* FIGO Survey, 2001 (61/95)

\*\* Gulmezoglu, 1998