Pre-eclampsia-Eclampsia : an unresolved problem for over 2 millennia

José Villar

Training in Reproductive Health Research Geneva, March 2006

Hypertension in pregnancy

The extent of the problem worldwide

The 2005 WHO Global Survey



Latin America: Flow Chart



Hypertensive disorders of pregnancy WHO Global Survey , April 2005

	Africa	Latin America
Number of countries randomly selected	7	8
Number of hospitals randomly selected	119	122
Women surveyed (3 months period)	76,971	97,095
Preeclampsia (%)	2.4	6.2
Eclampsia (%)	0.4	0.3
Hypertension (%) (without proteinuria)	2.6	4.9
Chronic hypertension (%)	0.5	1.4
Any of above conditions (%)	5.9	12.8

Villar et al, 2005

SCREENING

WHO systematic review of screening for pre-eclampsia

(Conde-Agudelo A, Villar J, Lindheimer MD Obstet and Gynecol 2004;104:1367-1391)

- We considered all cohort or cross-sectional studies of tests to predict preeclampsia
- 7,191 relevant articles \rightarrow 87 studies included

WHO systematic review of screening for preeclampsia Abnormal wave form ratio in low-risk women



Conde-Agudelo A, Villar J, Lindheimer M, Obstet and Gynecol 2004

Summary of the review

- There is no clinically useful screening test to predict preeclampsia
- Promising :

Combinations of tests: e.g., plasminogen activator inhibitor [PAI-1/PAI-2] ratio + leptin

+ placental growth factor [PIGF])

Reduced first trimester of PIGF and increases in its soluble inhibitor, fms-like tyrosine kinase (sFlt-1)





Primary articles included in systematic review: n = 8

Circulatory Angiogenic factors and Preeclampsia: sFlt-1



PREVENTION

Prevention of pre-eclampsia: Nutrition

- Systematic reviews of R.C.T.s
 - increase protein or energy intake
 - restrict protein or energy for obese women
 - supplementing iron, folate, Mg, Zn, fish oil
 - restricting salt intake
 - unlikely to be beneficial
- Promising: Vitamin E and C (one trial completed ,2 ongoing)
- Calcium: WHO trial completed (N = 8,325 women) Ref. Villar J et al. J. Nutrition 2003;133:15-205

WHO Randomized controlled trial of calcium supplementation for the prevention of preeclampsia among low calcium intake women

Villar J, Abdel-Aleem H, Merialdi M, Mathai M, Ali M, Zavaleta N, Purwar M, Hofmeyr GJ, Ngoc NTN, Campódonico L, Landoulsi S, Carroli G and Lindheimer MD on behalf of the WHO Calcium Supplementation for the Prevention of Preeclampsia Trial Group (AJOG, 2006)

Study design and patient flow



Trial Profile

14362 women screened

8788 eligible women

8325 women randomized





Cumulative risk for women in the calcium and placebo groups for severe preeclamptic complications according to week of gestation.



Preterm delivery according to treatment and maternal age

	Calcium n/N	Placebo n/N	Risk Ratio	95% Confidence Interval
Total population				
Preterm delivery (<37 ws)	398/4038	436/4042	0.91	0.79 - 1.05
Early preterm delivery (<32 ws)	106/4038	130/4042	0.82	0.71 - 0.93
Women ≤20 years				
Preterm delivery (<37 ws)	148/1400	180/1404	0.82	0.67 - 1.01
Early preterm delivery (<32 ws)	34/1400	53/1404	0.64	0.42 - 0.98
Women >20 years				
Preterm delivery (<37 ws)	250/2638	256/2638	0.97	0.83 - 1.15
Early preterm delivery (<32 ws)	72/2638	77/2638	0.93	0.68 - 1.28

All risk ratios and 95% Confidence Intervals are adjusted by centre effect.

The denominators include multiple births.

Severe morbidity and mortality according to treatment group

	Calcium n/N	Placebo n/N	Risk Ratio	95% Confidenc e Interval
Maternal admission to intensive				
care any special care unit	116/4151	138/4161	0.85	0.75 - 0.95
Maternal admission ≥ 2 days	31/4151	37/4161	0.83	0.57-1.21
Maternal death	1/4151	6/4161	0.17	0.03 - 0.76
Severe maternal morbidity and				
mortality index (*)	167/4151	209/4161	0.80	0.70 - 0.91
Stillbirth	105/4181	113/4197	0.93	0.74 - 1.17
Neonatal mortality	37/3953	53/3956	0.70	0.56 - 0.88

(*) At least one of the following: Admission to Intensive Care or any special care unit, eclampsia, severe preeclampsia,

placental abruption, HELLP, renal failure or death.

All risk ratios and 95% Confidence Intervals adjusted by centre effect. Maternal outcomes are also adjusted by maternal body mass index.

Cumulative risk of neonatal mortality, by treatment group



Conclusions

Supplementation with 1.5 gm Ca/day did not reduce the overall incidence of preeclampsia, however it decreased the risk for its more serious complications, including maternal and neonatal morbidity and mortality, as well as preterm delivery among young women.

ETIOLOGY

Are preeclampsia and gestational hypertension different entities ?

- Risk Factors
- Pregnancy outcomes

Villar J et al AJOG 2006 (in press)

Analyses based on data from the WHO Antenatal Care trial : Lancet 2001 and et al Obstet & Gynecol 2004

Preeclampsia versus Gestational Hypertension



Risk Factors for Preeclampsia and Gestational Hypertension as compared to the Reference Population

	Preeclampsia (n = 874)	Gestational HTA (n = 2748)
	OR* (95% CI)	OR* (95% CI)
Diabetes / Renal / Cardiac	2.4 (1.5 – 3.6) 🗸	2.7 (2.1 – 3.5) 🖌
Chronic Respiratory conditions	2.7 (1.2 – 6.5) 🖌	0.9 (0.4 – 2.1)
Pre-eclampsia last Pregnancy	12.7 (10.0 – 16.2)	9.4 (7.8 – 11.2) 📈
Spontaneous Abortions (> 2)	1.0 (0.7 – 1.5)	1.0 (0.8 – 1.3)
Urinary Tract Infection	1.4 (1.1 – 1.7) 🖌	1.3 (1.2 – 1.5) 🖌
Haemorrhage 1 st / 2 nd trimester	1.0 (0.6 – 1.5)	1.4 (1.1 – 1.7) 🖌
Reproductive Tract Surgery	1.0 (0.7 – 1.5)	2.2 (1.8 – 2.6)
Reproductive Tract Infection	0.8 (0.6 – 0.9)	1.3 (1.2 – 1.5) 🗸 🛓

* Adjusted OR, compared with reference population (n = 31273)

Risk Factors for Preeclampsia and Gestational Hypertension as compared to the Reference Population

	Preeclampsia (n = 874)	Gestational HTA (n = 2748)
	OR* (95% CI)	OR* (95% CI)
Maternal Age (< 16 years-old)	1.4 (1.0 – 1.9) 🗸	1.3 (1.1 – 1.6) 🗸
Primiparous	2.2 (1.9 – 2.5) 🗸	1.2 (1.1 – 1.3)
Maternal Age (> 40 years-old)	2.8 (1.7 – 4.5) 🗸	3.0 (2.4 – 3.9) 🗸
Obesity (BMI > 30)	2.7 (2.3 – 3.2) 🗸	2.8 (2.5 – 3.1) 🗸
Low BW in Last Pregnancy	1.3 (0.9 – 1.9)	1.4 (1.1 – 1.7) 🗸
Previous High Weight Babies	0.9 (0.5 – 1.7)	1.7 (1.3 – 2.2) 🗸

* Adjusted OR, compared with reference population (n = 31273)

Are they different ?

- Preeclampsia : Primiparous ; Chronic respiratory conditions ?
- Gestational Hypertension : Reproductive pathology, haemorrhage and reproductive infections ?

Perinatal Outcomes for Preeclampsia and Gestational Hypertension as compared to the Reference Population

	Preeclampsia (n = 874)	Gestational HTA (n = 2748)
	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)
NICU Stay 7 or more days		
Crude	6.0 (5.0 – 7.3)	2.1 (1.7 – 2.4)
Adjusted *	1.5 (1.2 – 2.0)	1.2 (1.0 – 1.5)
Neonatal Death		
Crude Adjusted *	4.6 (2.9 – 7.4)	1.4 (0.9 – 2.3)
	0.9 (0.5 – 1.8)	1.8 (1.0 – 3.3)

* OR adjusted by country, treatment, birth weight and socioeconomic status

WHO systematic review of the theories of preeclampsia:

The role of homocysteine

Mignini, L. et al, Obstet Gynecol 2005;105:411-425



Primary articles included in systematic review: n = 25

Review of the association between hyperhomocysteinemia and preeclampsia



Oxidative stress and endothelial dysfunction among women with and without preeclampsia

Concentrations







Mignini L, Villar J, Khan KS. Mapping the theories of preeclampsia: The need for systematic reviews of mechanisms of the disease. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2005

Mapping the theories of preeclampsia:

The need for systematic reviews of mechanisms of the disease

Mignini, L. Villar, J. Khan ,K. Am. J. Obstet–Gynecol (2006)

First, Do the Trials Then, Do No Harm By David Brown Sunday, August 4, 2002; Page B01, The Washington Post

